

ST. LOUIS COUNTY FISCAL YEAR 2020 CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT



COMMUNITY
DEVELOPMENT
BLOCK GRANT
PROGRAM



HOME INVESTMENT
PARTNERSHIP
PROGRAM



EMERGENCY
SHELTER GRANT

St. Louis County Government
Dr. Sam Page
County Executive

Prepared by:

Department of Human Services
Andrea Jackson-Jennings, Director

Office of Community Development
Amy Ellis, Director

Office of Family and Community Services
Yusef Scoggins, Director

January 1, 2020 – December 31, 2020

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

Similar to previous years, the 2020 CAPER reflects the expenditures and uses of three sources of entitlement funding from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and will report on activities that were identified in the 2020 Annual Plan. Each year the St. Louis County HOME Consortium develops an Annual Action Plan based on community input and a known amount of funding from HUD. This report provides a summary of the activities carried out from January 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020 with the funds from HUD.

Despite the challenges created by the COVID-19 pandemic, agencies who received CDBG, HOME, and ESG funds from the St. Louis County HOME Consortium completed the following in 2020 (including some activities funded in previous fiscal years):

- Developed 5 units of for-sale housing units in order to provide affordable housing to low- and moderate-income households in St. Louis County
- Assisted 74 County residents with acquiring their first home by providing them with down payment and closing cost assistance; down payment and closing cost assistance was provided to an additional 67 homebuyers throughout the Consortium jurisdictions, which include the counties of Jefferson and St. Charles and the cities of Florissant and O'Fallon.
- Assisted 145 homeowners with necessary home improvements that, in many cases, helped the homeowner stay in their homes.
- Provided homelessness prevention services to 1,380 people.
- Provided emergency shelter services to 1,455 people.
- Continued to market its Section 108 Loan Program and work with the Urban League for the construction of a mixed-use community center in Dellwood.

It should be noted that many of the programs funded with CDBG and HOME dollars fell short of 2020 goals due to the COVID-19 pandemic. For example, St. Louis County's home improvement program scaled back its services as required by the County's COVID-19 restrictions in order to ensure the safety of clients, contractors and staff. No comments were received regarding the CAPER.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and

explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee’s program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Administration	Administration	HOME: \$417519	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	1	0	0.00%			
CHDO For-Sale New Construction or Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	35	34	97.14%	9	4	44.44%
CHDO For-Sale New Construction or Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	0	0		1	1	100.00%
CHDO Operating	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Other	Other	15	10	66.67%	3	2	66.67%
Clearance and Demolition	Clearance and Demolition		Buildings Demolished	Buildings	175	99	56.57%	45	24	53.33%
Code Enforcement	Code Enforcement	HOME: \$92416	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	125000	29627	23.70%			

Code Enforcement	Code Enforcement	HOME: \$92416	Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care	Household Housing Unit	0	9872		4300	19755	459.42%
Direct Homebuyer Assistance - Consortium Members	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	0	0		0	0	
Direct Homebuyer Assistance - Consortium Members	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	575	491	85.39%	129	67	51.94%
Direct Homebuyer Assistance - St. Louis County	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	650	577	88.77%	125	74	59.20%
Florissant Lead Program	Lead Safe Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	15	16	106.67%			
Florissant Lead Program	Lead Safe Housing	HOME: \$	Other	Other	0	0		5	0	0.00%
For-Sale New Construction or Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	15	19	126.67%	4	0	0.00%
For-Sale New Construction or Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	5	0	0.00%	3	0	0.00%

Home Improvement	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	200	17	8.50%			
Home Improvement	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Other	Other	0	0		8	0	0.00%
Housing - Minor Home Repair	Housing		Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	1180	768	65.08%	300	278	92.67%
Housing Assistance ESG CV	Homeless	ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	250	0	0.00%			
Housing Assistance ESG CV	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	1250	0	0.00%			
Housing Assistance ESG CV	Homeless	ESG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	40	0	0.00%			
Housing Assistance ESG CV	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	900	0	0.00%			
Interim Assistance	Non-Housing Community Development		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	500	7255	1,451.00%			
Interim Assistance	Non-Housing Community Development		Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	2495		0	2495	

Provide Housing-Related Services to Homeless	Homeless	ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	1000	88	8.80%			
Provide Housing-Related Services to Homeless	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	5000	1455	29.10%			
Provide Housing-Related Services to Homeless	Homeless	ESG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	150	0	0.00%			
Provide Housing-Related Services to Homeless	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	2500	1380	55.20%			
Provide Housing-Related Services to Homeless	Homeless	ESG: \$	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	50	0	0.00%			
Public Facilities and Improvements - ADA	Non-Housing Community Development		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	250	16157	6,462.80%	2800	6033	215.46%

Public Facilities and Improvements - Parks	Non-Housing Community Development		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	12500	1525	12.20%	1000	1525	152.50%
Public Facilities and Improvements - Sidewalks	Non-Housing Community Development		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	175000	27959	15.98%	3800	8601	226.34%
Public Facilities and Improvements - Streets	Non-Housing Community Development		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	225000	88527	39.35%	29000	28935	99.78%
Public Facilities and Improvements - Streets	Non-Housing Community Development		Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	0	9		0	8	
Public Housing Coordination	Public Housing	HOME: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	200	0	0.00%			
Public Housing Coordination	Public Housing	HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	50	0	0.00%			

Public Services	Public Services		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	0		1000	433	43.30%
Public Services	Public Services		Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	100000	35842	35.84%			
Public Services - Crime Awareness	Public Services		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	0		550	48266	8,775.64%
Public Services - Crime Awareness	Public Services		Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	30000	48266	160.89%			
Public Services - Disability Services	Public Services		Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	500	0	0.00%			
Public Services - Employment Training	Public Services		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	0		80	0	0.00%

Public Services - Employment Training	Public Services		Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	495	87	17.58%	0	15	
Public Services - Fair Housing Activities	Public Services		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	458788		200	709026	354,513.00%
Public Services - Fair Housing Activities	Public Services		Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	1500	0	0.00%			
Public Services - Health Services	Public Services		Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	5000	0	0.00%			
Public Services - Senior Services	Public Services		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	0		4200	1450	34.52%
Public Services - Senior Services	Public Services		Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	5150	23519	456.68%	0	0	
Public Services - Youth Services	Public Services		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	0		980	593	60.51%

Public Services - Youth Services	Public Services		Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	1000	795	79.50%			
Rehabilitation - Public/Private	Non-Housing Community Development		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	6600	0	0.00%			
Rehabilitation Administration	Housing		Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	1	0	0.00%			
Rental New Construction or Rehabilitation Develop.		HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	100	0	0.00%			
Rental New Construction or Rehabilitation Develop.		HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	50	0	0.00%			

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

Activities undertaken with CDBG, HOME and ESG funds supported the priority needs set forth in the Strategic Plan Overview in the Consolidated Plan. More than 91% of CDBG funds were spent to benefit low- and moderate-income residents of St. Louis County. The Office of Community

Development (OCD) completed 145 single-family home renovations which represents about 11% of the CDBG total spent. Many of these renovations were actual modifications making the home more accessible, allowing the homeowner to age in place. Additionally, about 21% of CDBG funds were used to support activities providing community services to low-income, moderate-income, and special needs households. Public service activities included programs for seniors, youth, crime prevention, and subsistence payments. It should be noted that St. Louis County exceeded the public service cap in 2020 as allowed due to the COVID-19 pandemic. St. Louis County CDBG funds also supported activities to strengthen neighborhoods and promote fair housing choices. HOME funds for the St. Louis County HOME Consortium supported housing production and homebuyer activities. St. Louis County ESG funds supported emergency shelter, rapid rehousing and street outreach activities for homeless persons and families.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG
White	6,539	190	253
Black or African American	4,672	257	1,350
Asian	221	2	7
American Indian or American Native	17	1	5
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	17	0	4
Total	11,466	450	1,619
Hispanic	149	3	63
Not Hispanic	11,317	447	1,557

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

CDBG, HOME and ESG funds serve all of the unincorporated areas of St. Louis County and the participating municipalities within the county. The figures above reflect the demographic and ethnic characteristics of individuals and households that benefit from one of these programs. For program year 2019, approximately 57% of families served with CDBG funds are white, while nearly 41% percent of CDBG funds are used by Black or African Americans. All other racial categories make up the remaining 2%. Also in 2020, 42% of HOME funds served white households; 57% of HOME funds assisted Black or African American households; and 1% of HOME funds assisted other racial categories. In addition, approximately 1% of HOME funds served those who identified themselves as Hispanic. As a note, HOME funds reflected in the above table are inclusive of the entire Consortium. Finally, in 2020, 83% of persons receiving ESG assistance were Black or African American households, 16% were white, and less than 1% were Asian, American Indian, Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	5,750,449	3,491,897
HOME	public - federal	4,175,189	1,396,260
ESG	public - federal	493,933	

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
24:1 Service Area	15	13	

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

The municipalities comprising the 24:1 geographical service area (based on the Normandy School District boundary) receive about \$760,000 annually under St. Louis County's entitlement formula, which equates to approximately 15 percent of the County's total allocation. Additionally, projects undertaken in this targeted area may receive incentive investments. In 2015, select cooperating municipalities in the 24:1 service area were awarded an additional \$75,000 to work collaboratively on a demolition project. In 2016, the cooperating cities of Pagedale, Vinita Park, Pine Lawn, and Jennings continued to work together on this activity, known as the 24:1 Pooled Demolition Project. Much of 2016 was spent obtaining adequate site control of properties. All 32 structures in the Pooled Demolition project were demolished by February 2019. Additional collaboration concerning street improvements and code enforcement is being explored.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

Similar to previous years, many of the CDBG infrastructure improvement projects undertaken by participating municipalities (such as street improvements or sidewalk improvements) are leveraged with local funds.

In 2020, staff closed a Section 108 loan with Beyond Housing for Pagedale Town Center II, a new mixed-use commercial development. This project leverages \$1 million in Section 108 financing and \$1 million in Declared Disaster Relief Funding with \$1.8 million in private equity and \$1.78 million in New Markets Tax Credits for a total development cost of over \$5.5 million. Staff also continued to work towards closing with Urban League for the construction of Urban League Plaza, a mixed-use community center in Dellwood that will leverage \$500,000 in Section 108 financing and \$1.25 million in Declared Disaster Relief Funding with an additional \$4.8 million in loans, grants, pledges and New Markets Tax Credits for a total development cost of \$6.6 million.

The 25% HOME match requirement was waived in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

All ESG subrecipients are required to provide a match equal to 100% of the ESG award for each project. The source of the match includes a combination of private, state and local funds.

No publicly owned land or property were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	48,813,976
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	0
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	48,813,976
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	0
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	48,813,976

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
1,365,334	194,627	194,627	0	1,365,334

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-Contracts						
Number	7	0	0	7	0	0
Dollar Amount	1,481,349	0	0	1,481,349	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			
Number	0	0	0			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	5	5	0			
Dollar Amount	491,627	491,627	0			

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0		0		
Businesses Displaced		0		0		
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0		0		
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0		0		
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Total	0	0

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	0	0
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	12	4
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	17	1
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	254	141
Total	283	146

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

The St. Louis County HOME Consortium did not meet its goals for 2020; this shortfall was primarily due to the challenges and delays created by the COVID-19 pandemic.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

The production of new affordable homes has always been a priority for St. Louis County. However, as the costs of labor and materials continue to rise, the production of new affordable units will decline. Future Action Plans will most likely focus HOME funds on rehabilitation projects, down payment assistance and gap financing for Missouri Housing Development Commission (MHDC) Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) rental projects.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	1,605	3
Low-income	4,179	52
Moderate-income	2,683	125
Total	8,467	180

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

In 2020, HOME funds were used to assist a total of 180 households. Approximately 2% of HOME participants were extremely low-income households; 29% were low-income households; and 69% were moderate-income households. CDBG funds were used to assist 8,467 households in 2020. Approximately 19% of these households were extremely low-income households; 49% were low-income households; and 32% were moderate-income households. All households served in 2020 were homeowners.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

Saint Louis County is reaching out to unsheltered persons who are homeless through its Crisis Intervention Teams (CIT) which deliver street outreach to the entire CoC geographic area, 24 hours a day/7 days a week. Teams are comprised specially trained officers from surrounding municipal police departments, mental health providers, substance abuse facilities, hospitals, local colleges & universities, service providers & crisis response professionals. To add to this effort, in 2020, the Saint Louis County Police Department announced the creation of a Homeless Outreach Program and designated a Homeless Outreach Officer responsible for identifying persons experiencing homelessness and connecting them to available resources. The efforts of the Homeless Outreach Officer are intended to center around recognizing an individual's crisis and providing the needed resource to mitigate that crisis urgently. Outreach teams have access to crisis beds & other specialized resources that can be utilized to divert homeless individuals from arrest or incarceration. Because the outreach activities are integrated into daily police work, officers are able to be proactive in their outreach efforts, providing assistance to unsheltered individuals who are not likely to request assistance before they are in crisis and decrease the number of calls to the police department related to problems associated with the unhoused population

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The COVID-19 pandemic significantly impacted the operation of Saint Louis County's shelter and transitional housing programs due to the social distancing requirements necessitated by the pandemic. Each emergency shelter and transitional housing provider was required to create and implement a COVID 19 emergency response plan outlining the steps being taken to ensure their facilities were clean, safe and sanitary; adhering to all available CDC guidance. Beds at the individual shelter locations were arranged to support social distancing while maximizing occupancy. In addition, Saint Louis County established two quarantine and isolation sites to provide services to homeless persons needing care. Referrals to the sites were made by Regional Healthcare providers, shelter staff, outreach workers and community members. One site was dedicated to persons diagnosed with COVID 19 and experiencing complications requiring ongoing specialized medical care. This site was staffed by qualified healthcare professionals and allowed the region to rapidly increase medical bed capacity in response to the pandemic. The second location housed persons who tested positive and needed to isolate, COVID-exposed individuals who needed to quarantine and those that tested negative but appeared symptomatic as an added precaution. Everyone served at this location was monitored remotely by medical staff along with onsite shelter staff. Both sites provided individuals with medications, food and a place to stay for the duration of their quarantine/illness. Ongoing dialogue with the local Homeless

Management Information System (HMIS) provider ensured that those that exited Quarantine/Isolation against medical advice could be targeted for engagement/encouragement to return to Quarantine/Isolation. As a result of the operation of both sites and collaborations with local healthcare providers, HMIS staff and social service providers St. Louis County avoided any mass instances of COVID 19 and/or deaths amongst the unhoused.

Saint Louis County used ESG funds to operate eight shelters in 2020. Each facility operated as a low barrier, emergency shelter providing shelter, showers, laundry, meals, and case management and housing location services on a daily basis. In 2020, Also, case managers participated in Trauma Informed Care and Motivational Interviewing training. Both sessions provided staff with skill-building instruction on methods for working effectively with clients to develop healthy coping skills while setting and achieving goals that lead to stable housing and self sufficiency.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

Saint Louis County devoted approximately \$3.1 million of its CARES Act funding to homeless prevention activities focusing on Housing and Legal advocacy. Under the program, households were able to receive financial assistance paid directly to landlords to cover rental arrears, security deposits, moving expenses and other housing related supports necessary to maintain stable housing. Funding for ant-eviction and civil legal support at risk of losing housing was also provided. Legal advice and litigation services were provided in response to eviction notices, court appearances, mediation sessions and representation to assist in accessing and preserving public assistance benefits. Households experiencing economic hardship related to the pandemic were prioritized for services. Policies and procedures prioritized persons for assistance within 14 days of their losing their housing or experiencing a utility disconnection. Households requiring additional assistance were connected to other resources through the region's 211 referral system. Households were identified as low, very low or extremely low income based on the area median income measures, and prioritized for services accordingly.

According to the Missouri Discharge Policy, "all individuals discharged from a state or public facilities are discharged into permanent housing. When permanent housing is not available, plans to place the individual in temporary or emergency shelter must be made prior to discharge." To advance compliance with this policy, the CoC is working collaboratively with (1) the Behavioral Health Network (BHN) to ensure clients leaving mental health facilities have viable discharge plans that include the supports necessary to enable them to maintain their health and housing. BHN is a collaborative of mental health and substance abuse service providers, government leaders and community advocates dedicated to developing an accessible and coordinated system of behavioral healthcare. (2) state and local agencies.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Saint Louis County is committed to the development of safe, affordable housing options to enable persons experiencing homelessness to transition to permanent housing and independent living, and has demonstrated this commitment through the creation of a 5 year Strategic Plan for Investments in Housing and Homeless Services. In collaboration with the Housing Authority of Saint Louis County and other key stakeholders, the Saint Louis County Department of Human Services has developed a plan which defines specific priorities to be addressed in relation to housing stability, expanding access to housing, facilitating mobility for persons to areas of opportunity and the development of the County's, newly established, Affordable Housing Task Force as a new revenue source for affordable housing. The plan specifically outlines strategies for improving housing stability which includes identifying gaps in programming that is preventing households from obtaining stable housing.

In addition, the Saint Louis County Continuum of Care (CoC) continues to work to improve its Coordinated Entry System (CES) to ensure persons needing housing assistance are quickly connected to interventions that can end their homelessness. In 2020, the CoC restructured its CES processes to streamline the engagement and referral process in order to shorten the length of time needed to connect individuals with the appropriate resources. The new workflow prioritizes clients within the CoC and more effectively links them with services. Housing Navigators are engaging with clients to help them through barriers to housing, income levels and supports needed to return to housing.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

In 2020, St. Louis County provided the Housing Authority of St. Louis County (HASLC) with \$100,000 in CDBG funds to assist families, particularly those residing in properties owned by negligent landlords, with relocation. Funds are used to offset moving costs, security deposits, and similar expenses. Specifically, funds are used for the rental of moving vehicles; security deposit and first month rent; utility set-up; application, occupancy and inspection fees; and storage fees.

Also in 2020, OCD continued to work with and pay a subsidiary of HASLC to own and maintain vacant homes and lots that were acquired through the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP). This partnership allowed HASLC to earn money while assisting the County with its NSP inventory.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

Each year, OCD promotes its down payment assistance program at the offices of the Housing Authority, which can be used to help transition into becoming single-family homeowners.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

There are no troubled PHA's in the Consortium area.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

The Census Bureau estimates that St. Louis County's population, as of July 1, 2020, was approximately 994,020. About one-third of the county's population lives in unincorporated areas, for which the county government provides services such as zoning, code enforcement, refuse disposal, and police protection. In addition to the county-level government, there are 88 municipal governments in St. Louis County that also provide varying levels of service. Within the unincorporated areas of St. Louis County, there are no known adverse land use controls, tax policies, zoning ordinances, building fees, exorbitant fees, or growth limitations that would stifle the development of affordable housing. However, the most significant deterrent to affordable housing is local opposition or NIMBYism. Nearby residents have strong reactions to affordable housing generally due to misconceptions. When possible, more education, , conversations, and marketing needs to take place demonstrating that affordable housing is workforce housing for the region's teachers, police officers, firefighters, nurses and others.

Affordable housing development in St. Louis County has been constrained more by the lack of resources than any public policy. However, much of the affordable housing development has occurred in areas that can be characterized as less than areas of opportunity especially in North County. As an urban county, St. Louis County controls approximately one-third of the land while nearly two-thirds of the county is incorporated by 88 different municipalities. Therefore, St. Louis County does not have land use controls over much of the County. Each municipality sets its own development parameters. Within the geographic areas of unincorporated St. Louis County, the county's development policies are not onerous or meant to stifle affordable housing development.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

In 2019, St. Louis Council passed an ordinance establishing an Affordable Housing Trust Fund. Initially funded by taxes on the sale of medical marijuana, the trust fund will work to implement the recommendations of the Affordable Housing Trust Fund Task Force report that was released in spring of 2019.

As directed by the establishing ordinance, County staff was tasked with developing a Housing Strategic Plan in 2020. This five-year (2021-2025) plan is intended to guide expenditure of HUD funds as well as local revenue sources, including the Housing Resources Commission Fund and the new Affordable Housing Trust Fund.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

In 2020, St. Louis County continued to implement its 2018 grant from HUD's Office of Lead Hazard Control and Healthy Homes. St. Louis County was awarded \$2,514,514.50 to remediate lead based-paint hazards in 200 St. Louis County residential units over the next 42 months. St. Louis County was also awarded another \$100,000 for Healthy Homes cases to be used in conjunction with a lead based-paint hazard remediation cases.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

In 2020, St. Louis County partnered with several nonprofit service providers on programs and services intended to reduce the number of families living in poverty. CDBG funds were provided to:

- Heat Up St. Louis and Beyond Housing for subsistence payments to St. Louis County residents to restore utility service or prevent utility disconnection. These payments contribute to the overall stability of poverty-level families.
- United Auto Workers Labor and Employment Training Corporation to offer pre-apprenticeship programs in Certified Apartment Maintenance Technology and Advanced Manufacturing to eligible individuals.
- Alltru Federal Credit Union to provide financial empowerment services to families residing in the St. Louis County Promise Zone. This activity is in partnership with the Urban League's Save Our Sons program.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

In 2020, St. Louis County initiated several actions intended to develop institutional structure:

- Redesigned our public engagement process to increase outreach and more authentically incorporate feedback from the community. Specific components included creation of an email distribution list to provide timely program updates and event information; re-branding of public hearings as community input meetings and production of appealing outreach materials publicizing these meetings; a survey designed to assess community needs; and creation of community input priorities, based on feedback received through the aforementioned outreach, that are prioritized during the nonprofit award process via scoring incentives.
- Launched a newly expanded Section 3 program to increase economic opportunities for LMI firms and workers. Components include a certification process, reciprocity with the City of St. Louis, increased outreach efforts, and new workflow checklists to better ensure compliance.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

During 2020, St. Louis County conducted its annual training for housing counseling agencies approved to work with clients participating in the down payment assistance program throughout the Consortium. The counseling agencies, which have contracts with the St. Louis County HOME Consortium, worked

with more than 230 first-time homebuyers to prepare them for homeownership. The counseling agencies are responsible for educating and providing pre- and post-purchase housing counseling to the homebuyers. St. Louis County also coordinated activities with the Community Action Agency of St. Louis County (CAASTLC). CAASTLC provides a range of programs to lift low-income people out of poverty. Some of the programs include an intake, assessment and referral program to help households in determining their eligibility and appropriate needs. Once eligibility is determined, referrals for appropriate services are made. Programs include weatherization grants, GED programs, Life Skills programs and a youth at-risk program, which is designed to reach youths and their families who are at-risk of involvement in or are involved in gang activities, violence, illegal acts and/or other related activities, to name a few.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

In support of Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing, St. Louis County's Office of Community Development works closely with the Equal Housing Opportunity Commission (EHOC), a HUD certified Fair Housing agency. In 2020, St. Louis County continued to provide EHOC with financial support to continue to carry out fair housing activities such as rental and sales testing.

OCD also partnered with EHOC to redesign the municipal CDBG application and monitoring process. The fair housing questionnaire in the application was expanded to include a menu of possible AFFH activities municipalities could undertake, and applicants were asked to commit to performing at least one activity from the list in the upcoming year. Progress will be assessed during on-site monitoring.

In general, the Office of Community Development serves as a resource to municipalities that need technical assistance to ensure local policies and procedures are affirmatively furthering fair housing. Additionally, the Office of Community Development closely monitors municipal proceedings to ensure participating jurisdictions are in compliance with their fair housing ordinances. If a municipality violates fair housing laws, the Office of Community Development works in conjunction with the HUD Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity (FHEO) to ensure no dollars are spent on HUD projects until the fair housing issue is rectified.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

ESG Monitoring. ESG funded projects are monitored annually on a programmatic, fiscal and data management level to ensure all eligible activities are being carried out according to federal guidelines. For programmatic and fiscal monitoring, a St. Louis County staff person visits the funded agency; for data management, the HMIS lead visits the funded agency. If a project is deemed not in compliance with with regulations, a corrective action plan is created with additional visits scheduled to ensure corrective actions are taking place.

Though the COVID pandemic and the resulting relief funding and processes have caused a delay in the normal monitoring schedule, ESG funded projects will continue to be monitored on programmatic, fiscal and data management levels to ensure all eligible activities are being carried out according to federal guidelines as a desk review. In-person visits to agencies or client homes have been suspended until health officials and Saint Louis County leadership determine it is safe to resume meeting with individuals face to face.

St. Louis County Office of Homeless Services monitors all ESG funded programs and activities. Staff monitors specifically for compliance with ESG regulations ensuring activity eligibility and congruance with CoC goals and objectives. Staff verify programs are using resources efficiently and effectively to address participants needs. A standardized monitoring worksheet is used to document the project's compliance with Housing First protocols, Coordinated Entry policies and procedures and HMIS participation. A fiscal review is also performed to ensure the agency is utilizing funds in an appropriate manner, documenting expenditures accurately and expending funds at the expected rate. If an agency review determines a project is not in compliance with established regulations, staff will work with the subrecipient to define a corrective plan and schedule additional visits to the agency to ensure the corrective action plan is being implemented.

CDBG Monitoring

St. Louis County Office of Community Development monitors planning and implementation of all CDBG

funded programs and activities. Staff monitors specifically for compliance with CDBG regulations including activity eligibility and national objectives. Furthermore, staff ensures cross-cutting federal laws and regulations are followed during activity implementation (e.g. Davis Bacon Act, National Environmental Protection Act, and Uniform Administrative Guidelines). The County also promotes and monitors municipal compliance with fair housing laws, equal opportunity and Section 3 requirements. Finally, staff reviews all activities for compliance with Office of Community Development policies and procedures. Subgrantee monitoring by St. Louis County includes: Technical assistance, assessment, and review of each proposed activity from initial application stage. Verification that participating jurisdictions and agencies continue to have capacity to undertake programs. Step by step monitoring of all projects by Community Development Specialists assigned to specific jurisdictions, non-profits and individual homeowners participating in the rehabilitation of private properties activities. Desk review of all program and activity files for every municipal project to ensure compliance with regulatory mandates and to verify solicitations are inclusive of MBE/WBE and Section 3 businesses. Multi-staff review of each payment request. Verification that all activities and project costs were paid on a reimbursement basis or direct payment basis. A request for reimbursement or direct must have appropriate documentation attached to verify the eligibility of all expenditures. Reporting on program activities with each payment request to insure IDIS information remains up-to-date. Verifying compliance with Davis-Bacon, as applicable. The County also ensures that contractors are not state or federal excluded parties. All proposed activities are reviewed for compliance with the National Environmental Protection Act. Environmental records are reviewed and approved by the CDBG Grants Manager. Daily monitoring of all files and expenditures by the CDBG manager. An independent auditing firm conducts an annual audit and additional internal audits are performed by the St. Louis County Department of Administration. For public service activities, staff follows monitoring guidelines set forth in the St. Louis County subrecipient manual. Monitoring visits are conducted annually at the subrecipient's office wherein CDBG activity files and other aspects of the program are reviewed for compliance with program guidelines and applicable regulations. Results are discussed with subrecipient personnel and corrective actions are directed when needed.

HOME Monitoring

St. Louis County Office of Community Development monitors all HOME projects to ensure that all eligible activities are being carried out in conformance with applicable Federal requirements and procedures. The steps described for CDBG projects are also applied during the underwriting and development phases of all HOME projects. Additionally, HOME projects are monitored by staff upon completion as required by HUD. This is accomplished through desk monitoring and on-site monitoring throughout the affordability period of each project. Ongoing Monitoring of Rental Housing Program: HOME-funded rental projects are monitored every three years. For projects with 5 units or less, all units are monitored. For larger projects (6 or more units), 15 to 20 percent of the units are monitored including at least one unit per building. During the monitoring process, units are monitored for compliance with income determination, rent requirements, utility allowance and property standard requirements by reviewing tenant files as well as conducting physical inspections. St. Louis County staff contacts the subrecipient/property manager in advance to set up a date for a site visit to review files

and inspect the property. Also, Form 6-D is forwarded to the subrecipient/property manager to be filled out and returned to County staff prior to the site visit. Based on the information contained on the form, 15 to 20 percent of the units are chosen for monitoring with a concentration on residents who are new since the previous monitoring and/or anything that looks out of the ordinary. During the site visit, anything that is not in compliance is noted by OCD staff and the subrecipient/property manager is informed of any discrepancies. Within a month of the site visit, a letter is sent to the subrecipient/property manager with the results of the monitoring process along with a copy of the on-site inspection report. A copy of Form 6-D, inspection reports, and all written correspondence are placed in the project monitoring folder. Ongoing Monitoring of CHDO Projects: HOME-funded CHDO projects are monitored on an annual basis throughout the affordability period. Quarterly reports are submitted to St. Louis County for review; a Final Report is submitted at the end of the affordability period. During the site visit, copies of any by-law changes enacted since the previous monitoring and a list of current Board Members are obtained. If there are any new members, they are required to submit the CHDO Board Member Certification Form. County staff also reviews the CHDO Strategic Plan; programs that are in progress or being underwritten; and accounting records of expenses pertaining to HOME funds received for CHDO projects. Within one month following the site visit, a letter is sent to the CHDO regarding the results of the monitoring process. A copy of all forms and written correspondence are placed in the project monitoring folder. Ongoing Monitoring of Homebuyer Program: Subrecipients participating in the HOME homebuyer program are monitored on an annual basis. Down payment assistance files are reviewed once prior to reimbursement of funds. In addition, 15 to 20 percent of the homebuyer files are reviewed again during the annual review. The annual review also consists of monitoring post-counseling services offered to homeowners in distress. Within one month of the site visit, a letter is sent to the subrecipient regarding the results of the monitoring process. A copy of all forms and written correspondence are placed in the project monitoring folder.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

Each year, St. Louis County reports on accomplishments and progress toward the Consolidated Plan goals in the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER). Per St. Louis County's Citizen Participation Plan, in order to ensure citizens have another opportunity to engage with community development initiatives as well as ample opportunity to comment on accomplishments, the Office of Community Development notifies the public that a draft of the CAPER is available. This notice is published in a minority newspaper, The St. Louis American, posted on the County's website and social media pages, posted at the four regional county government centers, and sent via email and mail lists designated for this purpose. The notice is also sent to community groups and nonprofit organizations that specifically serve minorities, non-English speaking persons, and persons with disabilities. The draft CAPER is available on the Office of Community Development's website and physical copies of the

document are available at the four regional county government centers and via the St. Louis County Library system. The report is made available in a form accessible to persons with disabilities upon request. St. Louis County notifies the public of a hearing regarding performance and the CAPER. When public comments are received, whether in writing or orally at public hearings, they are considered and responded to, if appropriate. The final CAPER will include a summary of all public comments or views including any comments or views not incorporated and the reasons therefor.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

The mission of the Office of Community Development is to assist participating communities, other County departments, non-profit agencies and low and moderate income persons by providing funding and technical assistance and support to plan and carry out projects consistent with the Consolidated Plan goals and federal program objectives. At the end of fiscal year 2019 St Louis County has, through its administration of the CDBG program, fulfilled and met the major goals outlined in the 2016 – 2020 Consolidated Plan, although not necessarily utilizing only 2019 funds. Our objectives have not changed.

The County's ability to meet anticipated needs in each community is subject to a variety of factors that are not necessarily within the control of the County. For example, the inclination or capacity of a community plays a major role in when projects are completed. Additionally, many of the participating municipalities receive very little funding from St. Louis County to undertake projects in their jurisdiction. Participating communities do not often have the capacity to provide additional funding for activities and may choose to delay a project until more funds are available.

In response to these factors, we are bolstering our strategy for supporting our municipal partners and subrecipients. Tactics include offering regular technical assistance workshops and conducting monitoring visits with the goal of building relationships and working together to overcome performance challenges. Upcoming projects will include revising our subrecipient manual and forms. We are also exploring changes to our allocation formula, which may include calculating municipal allocations as a percentage of our total allocation, revising the factors that comprise the calculation, and transitioning to bi-annual allocations, wherein municipalities receive twice the amount of CDBG funds every other year, with some receiving their funds in odd years and some receiving funds in even years. Because we are fully committed to transparency and fairness, we will engage the public and our partners regarding proposed changes to the allocation formula and allow ample opportunity for discussion and feedback.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

No new rental units came online in 2020.

Based on information obtained at the HOME Rental Housing Development and Compliance Training in 2017, St. Louis County has devised a plan to monitor all rental units in its portfolio every three years as required by HUD. As such, St. Louis County began to satisfy the monitoring requirements for 2020 by monitoring approximately one-third (1/3) of all rental units. Monitoring activities for said units included site visits to conduct audits of the tenant files to verify tenant eligibility as well as physical inspections to ensure that the units meet safety and sanitary standards. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, St. Louis County was unable to complete their monitoring visits in 2020; monitoring visits that were cancelled in 2020 will be rescheduled in 2021.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

All developers participating in the HOME program are made aware of the affirmative marketing requirements prior to entering into any development agreements, and the 24 CFR 92.351 citation is incorporated into all HOME loan agreements. During the underwriting process for all development projects, developers must submit their Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Plan for approval. These plans describe the proposed marketing of the completed project, including the specific actions that will be taken to provide information and otherwise attract eligible persons in the housing market area to the available housing without regard to race, color, national origin, gender, religion, familial status, or disability. The St. Louis County HOME Consortium also requires developers to use the Equal Housing Opportunity logo in press releases and solicitations.

The St. Louis County HOME Consortium partners with five non-profit housing counseling agencies to implement its 1st HOME down payment assistance program. Consortium members and counseling agencies distribute and display fair housing flyers, brochures, and posters when advertising this program.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

In 2020, the St. Louis County HOME Consortium received \$468,167 in program income. A large percentage of this program income came from the sales proceeds of newly constructed or rehabilitated for-sale housing units that were partially financed with HOME funds. The other source of program income was from payoffs of down payment assistance loans. A small portion of the program income was spent in our down payment assistance program; however, most of the program income has been retained in order to report said funds in our 2021 Annual Action Plan per HUD regulations.

It should be noted that program income from 2017 and 2018 was spent on various projects throughout the year in accordance with our 2018 and 2019 Annual Action Plans. The majority of these funds were spent on our 1st HOME down payment assistance program to provide down payment assistance loans to first-time homebuyers; however, some funds were also used to partially finance the construction or rehabilitation of affordable housing units.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

The St. Louis County HOME Consortium continued to foster and maintain affordable housing throughout the region this past year, mainly through its HOME Program. Although the community's needs are much greater than the HOME program can address in any given year, the cumulative effect of the HOME program can be significant. In 2020, new construction and rehabilitation projects were partially financed with HOME funds in North St. Louis County and Jefferson County. These types of projects add new affordable housing to the housing stock throughout the region every year. Furthermore, when projects have reached the end of their affordability period, the St. Louis County HOME Consortium works with the owner to keep the units affordable when feasible.

St. Louis County also works to foster and maintain affordable housing by providing general operating assistance to local Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs). This type of support enables the CHDOs to develop new affordable housing in the communities they serve.

In addition, our housing counseling partners offer post-purchase counseling to all homeowners who participate in the 1st HOME down payment assistance program and/or purchase homes that are partially financed with HOME funds. Post-purchase counseling provides new homeowners with valuable information and resources to help them become successful homeowners.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	ST. LOUIS COUNTY
Organizational DUNS Number	075913061
EIN/TIN Number	436003242
Identify the Field Office	ST LOUIS
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	St. Louis County CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Dr
First Name	Yusef
Middle Name	0
Last Name	Scoggin
Suffix	0
Title	Family and Community Services Director

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	500 Northwest Crossing Suite 800
Street Address 2	0
City	St. Ann
State	MO
ZIP Code	63132-
Phone Number	3146150379
Extension	0
Fax Number	0
Email Address	yscoggin@stlouisco.com

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	Ms
First Name	Chaunceia
Last Name	Mayfield
Suffix	0
Title	Program Manager
Phone Number	3146157258
Extension	0
Email Address	CMayfield@stlouisco.com

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 01/01/2020
Program Year End Date 12/31/2020

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: PETER AND PAUL COMMUNITY SERVICES

City: Saint Louis

State: MO

Zip Code: 63104, 3720

DUNS Number: 194124103

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 18000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: ST. MARTHA'S HALL

City: Saint Louis

State: MO

Zip Code: 63108, 0950

DUNS Number: 019747323

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 10000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: OUR LADY'S INN

City: Saint Louis

State: MO

Zip Code: 63111, 1129

DUNS Number: 103198974

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 20000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: ROOM AT THE INN

City: Bridgeton

State: MO

Zip Code: 63044, 2604

DUNS Number: 361173193

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 22000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SAVALTION ARMY-FAMILY HAVEN

City: Saint Louis

State: MO

Zip Code: 63139, 3147

DUNS Number: 126161855

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 25000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: LOAVES AND FISHES

City: Maryland Heights

State: MO

Zip Code: 63043, 1651

DUNS Number: 603583592

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 60000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Gateway 180 - Emergency Beds

City: Saint Louis

State: MO

Zip Code: 63106, 3515

DUNS Number: 610774007

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 20000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Employment Connection
City: Saint Louis
State: MO
Zip Code: 63103, 2524
DUNS Number: 085901866
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 86967

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Epworth Children & Family Services
City: Saint Louis
State: MO
Zip Code: 63119, 2418
DUNS Number: 020373114
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 40029

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Employment Connection
City: Saint Louis
State: MO
Zip Code: 63103, 2524
DUNS Number: 085901866
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 100000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Epworth Children and Family Services
City: Saint Louis
State: MO
Zip Code: 63119, 2418
DUNS Number: 020373114
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 18000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Epworth Children and Family Services

City: Saint Louis

State: MO

Zip Code: 63119, 2418

DUNS Number: 020373114

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 20000

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	
Female	
Transgender	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	
18-24	
25 and over	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans				
Victims of Domestic Violence				
Elderly				
HIV/AIDS				
Chronically Homeless				
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill				
Chronic Substance Abuse				
Other Disability				
Total (unduplicated if possible)				

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	72,107
Total Number of bed-nights provided	52,647
Capacity Utilization	73.01%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

The Outcome Data comparing FY19 to FY20 shows the following:

1. Length of Time Homeless - The data shows a slight increase in the length of time individuals are homeless. On average persons were homeless from approximately 7.5 days longer. The average length of time was 46 days for persons in emergency shelter. The CoC has made significant progress in streamlining the coordinated entry process, eliminating bottlenecks that previously delayed housing people quickly. In addition, the CoC has prioritized serving the chronically homeless in an effort to reduce the number of days persons are on the street.
2. Returns to Homelessness decreased by 3%. An effort to strengthen case management has contributed to this success. Trauma-Informed Care and Motivational Interview training were both offered to case managers to assist them in knowing how to best support clients struggling to obtain or remain in housing.
3. Number of Sheltered Homeless Persons decreased by 2% in 2020 largely due to social distancing protocols that were implemented by housing providers.
4. The percentage of adults who increased their earned income while in housing increased compared to 2012. The data shows 11% of the persons in CoC funded projects were able to increase their earned income. Overall, 28% of persons who remained in projects increased their total income. An even better improvement saw 42% of persons exiting a project with increased income. This was a 20% over the previous year.
5. The number of first time homeless in Saint Louis County decreased in 2020 by 23%.
7. The number of persons exiting emergency shelter and transitional housing to move on to permanent

housing opportunities increased by 2%. This is an indication case management practices are improving. Exits from permanent supportive housing to another stable and permanent housing decreased by 5% compared to the previous year.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2018	2019	2020
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	29,269	47,931	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	9,553	37,185	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	32,437	53,379	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	705	411	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	71,964	138,906	0

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2018	2019	2020
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	46,125	82,291	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	6,241	12,987	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	48,574	75,752	0
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	4,456	629	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	105,396	171,659	0

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2018	2019	2020
Essential Services	0	0	0
Operations	153,446	130,506	0
Renovation	0	0	0

Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	153,446	130,506	0

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2018	2019	2020
Street Outreach	0	49,529	0
HMIS	0	0	0
Administration	120,123	84,411	0

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2018	2019	2020
	450,929	575,011	0

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2018	2019	2020
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	0	0	0
State Government	0	0	0
Local Government	0	257,280	0

Private Funds	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	0	257,280	0

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2018	2019	2020
	450,929	832,291	0

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities